

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,419	10/23/2003	J. Rodney Walton	020554	2594
	7590 03/27/200 INCORPORATED	8	EXAM	INER
5775 MOREHOUSE DR.			SMITH, MARCUS	
SAN DIEGO,	CA 92121		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2619	•
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/27/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/693,419 WALTON ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MARCUS R. SMITH 2619 The MAILING DATE of this comm

Period for Reply	st with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMIN Extension of time may be valiable under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.35(a), in no event, however, marker SIX (6) MONTH'S from the making date of the communication.  If No principle of reply is specified above, the monimum datatory and valid apply and vali	JNICATION.  ay a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/23/03.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal r	natters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-216</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-216 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attack	ched Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>						
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ol>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
	iew Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/DE)  5) Notice	No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application					

	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
U.S.	Patent and Trademark Office	
PT	DL-326 (Rev. 08-06)	

Application/Control Number: 10/693,419 Page 2

Art Unit: 2619

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-21, drawn to method of a user to select a rate and mode for scheduling, classified in class 370, subclass 468.
  - Claims 22-40, drawn to method for two users with two modes for transmission, classified in class 370, subclass 465.
  - III. Claims 41-58, drawn to method for two set of users for transmitting on the downlink and receiving on the uplink, classified in class 370, subclass 347.
  - IV. Claims 59-73, drawn to method for performing spatial processing based on a pilot signal and steering vector, classified in class 370, subclass 310.
  - V. Claims 74-88, drawn to method for transmitting MIMO pilot and receiving a steered pilot with Eigen mode, classified in class 370, subclass 310.
  - VI. Claims 89-102, drawn to method for estimating a channel response based on the Eigen mode of a steered pilot, classified in class 370, subclass 252.
  - Claims 103-125, drawn to channel structure of the system, classified in class 370, subclass 328.
  - VIII. Claims 126-143, drawn to for transmitting signaling information on two sets of user, classified in class 370, subclass 328.
  - Claims 144-166, drawn to method coding and modulating data frames, classified in class 375, subclass 295.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,419 Page 3

Art Unit: 2619

 Claims 167-187, drawn to method of accessing system information through multiple transport channels, classified in class 370, subclass 337.

- XI. Claims 188-216, drawn to method for transmitting data on a spatial channel based on a rate determined by a channel response on another link. classified in class 370, subclass 310.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions 1-11 are directed to related MIMO system. The related inventions are distinct if: (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed provide a different utility and purpose in a MIMO system (See above for their described differences). Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.
- 3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
  - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification:

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/693,419

Art Unit: 2619

(b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;

- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,419

Art Unit: 2619

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- A telephone call was made to Thien Nguyen on 3/10/08 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARCUS R. SMITH whose telephone number is (571)270-1096. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs: 7:30 am - 5:00 p.m. and every other Friday.

Art Unit: 2619

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on 571 272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MRS 3/11/08

/CHAU T. NGUYEN/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619